organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

N-(2,3-Dimethylphenyl)acetamide

B. Thimme Gowda,^a* Sabine Foro,^b Hiromitsu Terao^c and Hartmut Fuess^b

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Mangalore University, Mangalagangotri 574 199, Mangalore, India, ^bInstitute of Materials Science, Darmstadt University of Technology, Petersenstrasse 23, D-64287 Darmstadt, Germany, and ^cFaculty of Integrated Arts and Sciences, Tokushima University, Minamijosanjima-cho, Tokushima 770-8502, Japan

Correspondence e-mail: gowdabt@yahoo.com

Received 30 March 2009; accepted 31 March 2009

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 299 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.005 Å; R factor = 0.073; wR factor = 0.156; data-to-parameter ratio = 14.4.

The conformation of the N-H bond in the structure of the title compound, $C_{10}H_{13}NO$, is syn to both the 2- and 3-methyl substituents on the aromatic ring, and is anti to the C=O bond. $N-H \cdots O$ hydrogen bonds link the molecules into supramolecular chains.

Related literature

For preparation of the compound, see: Gowda et al. (2006). For related structures, see: Gowda et al. (2007a,b; 2008)



Experimental

Crystal data C10H13NO

 $M_r = 163.21$

Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$	
a = 4.7961 (5) Å	
b = 12.385(1) Å	
c = 15.475 (2) Å	
$\beta = 96.23 \ (1)^{\circ}$	
$V = 913.78 (17) \text{ Å}^3$	

Data collection

Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur	Diffraction, 2007)
diffractometer with a Sapphire	$T_{\min} = 0.967, \ T_{\max} = 0.993$
CCD detector	5890 measured reflections
Absorption correction: multi-scan	1660 independent reflections
(CrysAlis RED; Oxford	1121 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
	$R_{\rm int} = 0.035$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.073$	H atoms treated by a mixture o
$wR(F^2) = 0.156$	independent and constrained
S = 1.26	refinement
1660 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.23 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
115 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.18 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Z = 4

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

 $0.45 \times 0.08 \times 0.04~\text{mm}$

of

 $\mu = 0.08 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

T = 299 K

Table 1 Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$N1 - H1N \cdots O1^{i}$	0.85 (3)	2.06 (3)	2.901 (3)	169 (3)
Symmetry code: (i) x	+1, y, z.			

Data collection: CrysAlis CCD (Oxford Diffraction, 2004); cell refinement: CrysAlis RED (Oxford Diffraction, 2007); data reduction: CrysAlis RED; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: PLATON (Spek, 2009); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: TK2409).

References

- Gowda, B. T., Foro, S. & Fuess, H. (2007a). Acta Cryst. E63, o2631-o2632.
- Gowda, B. T., Foro, S. & Fuess, H. (2008). Acta Cryst. E64, 011.
- Gowda, B. T., Kožíšek, J., Tokarčík, M. & Fuess, H. (2007b). Acta Cryst. E63, o1977-o1978.
- Gowda, B. T., Shilpa & Lakshmipathy, J. K. (2006). Z. Naturforsch. Teil A, 61, 595-599
- Oxford Diffraction (2004). CrysAlis CCD. Oxford Diffraction Ltd, Köln, Germany.
- Oxford Diffraction (2007). CrysAlis RED. Oxford Diffraction Ltd, Köln, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Spek, A. L. (2009). Acta Cryst. D65, 148-155.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2009). E65, 0964 [doi:10.1107/S1600536809011891]

N-(2,3-Dimethylphenyl)acetamide

B. T. Gowda, S. Foro, H. Terao and H. Fuess

Comment

As a part of studying the ring- and side-chain substitutions on the crystal structures of chemically and biologically important class of compounds such as acetanilides (Gowda *et al.*, 2007*a,b*; 2008), we report herein the crystal structure of *N*-(2,3-dimethylphenyl)acetamide, (I). The conformation of the C=O bond is *anti* to the N—H bond, Fig. 1. The conformation of the N—H bond is *syn* to both the 2- and 3-methyl substituents in the aromatic ring, similar to that observed with respect to the 2- and 3-chloro substituents in *N*-(2,3-dichlorophenyl)acetamide (Gowda *et al.*, 2007*a*), but in contrast to the *anti* conformation observed with respect to the 2-methyl group in *N*-(2-methylphenyl)acetamide (Gowda *et al.*, 2007*b*). The molecules in (I) are linked into supramolecular chains along the a axis through intermolecular N1—H1…O1 hydrogen bonding (Table 1) as shown in Fig. 2.

Experimental

Compound (I) was prepared according to the literature method (Gowda *et al.*, 2006) and crystals were obtained from its ethanol solution held at room temperature.

Refinement

The N-bound H atom was located in difference map, and refined with N—H = 0.85 (3) Å. The remaining H atoms were positioned with in their idealized geometry using a riding model with C—H = 0.93–0.96 Å, and with $U_{iso}(H)$ set to 1.2 x $U_{eq}(C)$.

Figures



Fig. 1. Molecular structure of (I), showing the atom labeling scheme and displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.



Fig. 2. Molecular packing of (I) with hydrogen bonding shown as dashed lines.

N-(2,3-Dimethylphenyl)acetamide

Crystal data	
C ₁₀ H ₁₃ NO	$F_{000} = 352$
$M_r = 163.21$	$D_{\rm x} = 1.186 {\rm ~Mg~m^{-3}}$
Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å
Hall symbol: -P 2yn	Cell parameters from 2448 reflections
a = 4.7961 (5) Å	$\theta = 2.6 - 27.6^{\circ}$
b = 12.385(1) Å	$\mu = 0.08 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 15.475 (2) Å	T = 299 K
$\beta = 96.23 (1)^{\circ}$	Needle, colourless
$V = 913.78 (17) \text{ Å}^3$	$0.45 \times 0.08 \times 0.04 \text{ mm}$
Z = 4	

Data collection

Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur diffractometer with a Sapphire CCD detector	1660 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	1121 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Monochromator: graphite	$R_{\rm int} = 0.035$
<i>T</i> = 299 K	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 25.3^{\circ}$
Rotation method data acquisition using ω and phi scans.	$\theta_{min} = 2.7^{\circ}$
Absorption correction: multi-scan (CrysAlis RED; Oxford Diffraction, 2007)	$h = -3 \rightarrow 5$
$T_{\min} = 0.967, \ T_{\max} = 0.993$	$k = -14 \rightarrow 13$
5890 measured reflections	$l = -18 \rightarrow 18$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2

Least-squares matrix: full

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.073$

 $wR(F^2) = 0.156$

S = 1.26

1660 reflections

115 parameters

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0286P)^2 + 0.8009P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.23$ e Å⁻³ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.18$ e Å⁻³

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct Extinction methods

Extinction correction: none

Special details

Experimental. Absorption correction details: CrysAlis RED, Oxford Diffraction Ltd., 2007 Empirical absorption correction using spherical harmonics, implemented in SCALE3 ABSPACK scaling algorithm.

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{\rm iso}$ */ $U_{\rm eq}$
C1	-0.0442 (6)	0.9157 (3)	0.87038 (19)	0.0414 (8)
C2	0.0495 (6)	0.9258 (3)	0.7884 (2)	0.0424 (8)
C3	-0.0385 (6)	1.0149 (3)	0.7370 (2)	0.0502 (9)
C4	-0.2211 (7)	1.0883 (3)	0.7678 (3)	0.0620 (10)
H4	-0.2811	1.1473	0.7335	0.074*
C5	-0.3162 (7)	1.0761 (3)	0.8479 (3)	0.0639 (11)
H5	-0.4413	1.1260	0.8668	0.077*
C6	-0.2269 (6)	0.9905 (3)	0.9001 (2)	0.0521 (9)
H6	-0.2881	0.9828	0.9548	0.063*
C7	-0.1038 (6)	0.7664 (3)	0.9715 (2)	0.0468 (8)
C8	0.0486 (7)	0.6820 (3)	1.0266 (2)	0.0606 (10)
H8A	0.0383	0.6984	1.0868	0.073*
H8B	0.2414	0.6803	1.0153	0.073*
H8C	-0.0357	0.6128	1.0132	0.073*
C9	0.2419 (7)	0.8429 (3)	0.7556 (2)	0.0523 (9)
H9A	0.2524	0.7811	0.7932	0.063*
H9B	0.4256	0.8734	0.7548	0.063*
H9C	0.1703	0.8214	0.6978	0.063*
C10	0.0608 (8)	1.0314 (3)	0.6489 (2)	0.0690 (11)
H10A	-0.0029	0.9726	0.6114	0.083*
H10B	0.2620	1.0341	0.6547	0.083*
H10C	-0.0134	1.0980	0.6244	0.083*
N1	0.0539 (5)	0.8282 (2)	0.92501 (16)	0.0432 (7)
H1N	0.230 (7)	0.818 (3)	0.931 (2)	0.052*
01	-0.3582 (4)	0.7763 (2)	0.96925 (18)	0.0722 (8)

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (A^2)

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
C1	0.0283 (15)	0.045 (2)	0.0503 (19)	0.0009 (14)	-0.0004 (13)	-0.0039 (15)

supplementary materials

C2	0.0317 (16)	0.045 (2)	0.0497 (19)	-0.0051 (14)	0.0012 (13)	-0.0040 (15)
C3	0.0429 (18)	0.047 (2)	0.058 (2)	-0.0068 (16)	-0.0060 (15)	0.0043 (17)
C4	0.054 (2)	0.046 (2)	0.082 (3)	0.0039 (18)	-0.010 (2)	0.008 (2)
C5	0.050 (2)	0.057 (3)	0.083 (3)	0.0135 (18)	-0.0006 (19)	-0.012 (2)
C6	0.0428 (18)	0.057 (2)	0.056 (2)	0.0048 (17)	0.0034 (15)	-0.0088 (18)
C7	0.0351 (18)	0.056 (2)	0.0500 (19)	-0.0013 (16)	0.0092 (14)	-0.0032 (17)
C8	0.048 (2)	0.071 (3)	0.065 (2)	-0.0048 (18)	0.0144 (17)	0.015 (2)
C9	0.0497 (19)	0.059 (2)	0.0499 (19)	0.0009 (17)	0.0121 (15)	0.0004 (17)
C10	0.074 (3)	0.071 (3)	0.060 (2)	-0.009 (2)	-0.0039 (19)	0.014 (2)
N1	0.0272 (13)	0.0530 (17)	0.0504 (15)	0.0043 (13)	0.0084 (12)	0.0046 (14)
O1	0.0289 (12)	0.085 (2)	0.104 (2)	0.0005 (12)	0.0148 (12)	0.0147 (16)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

C1—C6	1.388 (4)	C7—N1	1.339 (4)
C1—C2	1.396 (4)	С7—С8	1.490 (5)
C1—N1	1.423 (4)	C8—H8A	0.9600
C2—C3	1.399 (4)	C8—H8B	0.9600
С2—С9	1.504 (4)	C8—H8C	0.9600
C3—C4	1.382 (5)	С9—Н9А	0.9600
C3—C10	1.506 (5)	С9—Н9В	0.9600
C4—C5	1.374 (5)	С9—Н9С	0.9600
C4—H4	0.9300	C10—H10A	0.9600
C5—C6	1.373 (5)	C10—H10B	0.9600
С5—Н5	0.9300	C10—H10C	0.9600
С6—Н6	0.9300	N1—H1N	0.85 (3)
C7—O1	1.223 (3)		
C6—C1—C2	121.2 (3)	C7—C8—H8A	109.5
C6-C1-N1	119.5 (3)	C7—C8—H8B	109.5
C2-C1-N1	119.3 (3)	H8A—C8—H8B	109.5
C1—C2—C3	118.7 (3)	C7—C8—H8C	109.5
C1—C2—C9	121.0 (3)	H8A—C8—H8C	109.5
С3—С2—С9	120.3 (3)	H8B—C8—H8C	109.5
C4—C3—C2	119.1 (3)	С2—С9—Н9А	109.5
C4—C3—C10	119.8 (3)	С2—С9—Н9В	109.5
C2-C3-C10	121.1 (3)	H9A—C9—H9B	109.5
C5—C4—C3	121.6 (3)	С2—С9—Н9С	109.5
С5—С4—Н4	119.2	Н9А—С9—Н9С	109.5
С3—С4—Н4	119.2	Н9В—С9—Н9С	109.5
C6—C5—C4	120.2 (3)	C3-C10-H10A	109.5
С6—С5—Н5	119.9	C3—C10—H10B	109.5
С4—С5—Н5	119.9	H10A—C10—H10B	109.5
C5—C6—C1	119.3 (3)	C3—C10—H10C	109.5
С5—С6—Н6	120.4	H10A—C10—H10C	109.5
С1—С6—Н6	120.4	H10B—C10—H10C	109.5
01—C7—N1	123.2 (3)	C7—N1—C1	125.8 (3)
O1—C7—C8	120.9 (3)	C7—N1—H1N	117 (2)
N1—C7—C8	116.0 (3)	C1—N1—H1N	117 (2)
C6—C1—C2—C3	1.7 (4)	C10—C3—C4—C5	-179.9 (3)

supplementary materials

N1—C1—C2—C3	-177.1 (3)		C3—C4—C5—C6		1.0 (5)
C6—C1—C2—C9	-178.5 (3)		C4—C5—C6—C1		-1.2 (5)
N1-C1-C2-C9	2.8 (4)		C2—C1—C6—C5		-0.2 (5)
C1—C2—C3—C4	-1.8 (4)		N1-C1-C6-C5		178.6 (3)
C9—C2—C3—C4	178.3 (3)		O1—C7—N1—C1		3.0 (5)
C1—C2—C3—C10	178.6 (3)		C8—C7—N1—C1		-177.8 (3)
C9—C2—C3—C10	-1.2 (5)		C6—C1—N1—C7		45.2 (4)
C2—C3—C4—C5	0.5 (5)		C2—C1—N1—C7		-136.0 (3)
Hydrogen-hond geometry (\mathring{A} °)					
nyurogen bonu geometry (n,)					
D—H··· A		<i>D</i> —Н	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	D—H···A
N1—H1N…O1 ⁱ		0.85 (3)	2.06 (3)	2.901 (3)	169 (3)

Symmetry codes: (i) x+1, y, z.







Fig. 2